



Legislative Testimony
765 Asylum Avenue, First Floor
Hartford, CT 06105
860-523-9146
www.acluct.org

Written Testimony Supporting House Bill 6425, An Act Concerning Aid in Dying for Terminally Ill Patients

Senator Abrams, Representative Steinberg, Ranking Members Hwang, Somers, and Petit, and distinguished members of the Public Health Committee:

My name is Kelly McConney Moore, and I am the policy counsel for the American Civil Liberties Union of Connecticut (ACLU-CT). I am here to testify in support of House Bill 6425, An Act Concerning Aid in Dying for Terminally Ill Patients.

Decisions about end-of-life care are deeply personal. Each terminally ill person should have the right to make their own decisions about how to spend their final days and how to face death. This right to individual autonomy when making end of life decisions includes the decision to seek physician-assisted aid in dying, as well as the decision to continue living. The ACLU-CT supports this bill, because we believe all people should have the liberty to make personal, intimate decisions, not just about how to live but also about how to die.

Connecticut voters overwhelmingly favor supporting a terminally ill patient's choice for aid in dying. A 2015 Quinnipiac poll found that 63 percent of Connecticut voters are in favor of allowing doctors to legally prescribe lethal drugs to help terminally ill patients end their own lives. All party, age, and gender groups support the idea of terminally ill patients having this right.

Currently, eight states (California, Colorado, Hawaii, Maine, New Jersey, Oregon, Vermont, and Washington) and Washington, D.C. allow terminally ill residents to make their own determination at the end of their lives about how much suffering to

endure and when to hasten a peaceful death.¹ Those states honor, until the very end of life, the self-determination that is so valued in America's culture and Constitution.

We encourage the legislature to tackle this issue, as other states have. However, it is important that there are safeguards in place. We recognize the importance of ensuring that each person's decision about end-of-life care is made voluntarily, not based on misinformation, pressure from others, or discriminatory misconceptions about people with disabilities. We support the rights of people living with disabilities, including their right to live full lives and to make their own decisions about their bodies and lives. It is critical that the legislature consult with disability rights groups to make sure the bill allows people their individual right to determine when to end their life but also closes any loopholes that could hurt vulnerable populations.

Though the bill allows a physician to refer a patient for counseling in certain circumstances, we recommend that the Committee consider adding a provision requiring a mental health evaluation. The decision to end one's life is a very serious decision, and a mental health evaluation is one safeguard to ensure that a person has the capacity to make rational decisions about their end-of-life plans.

This bill does include some needed safeguards to help to ensure that the decision to seek aid in dying will be voluntary and permitted only for patients who are capable of consent and terminally ill, with a prognosis of no more than six months of life remaining. Patients must make two oral requests and one written request, with a 15-day waiting period between the oral requests, for a prescription for aid in dying. Witnesses are required for those requests, and doctors must keep detailed records of the entire process. The bill mandates a second doctor's opinion and multiple opportunities to withdraw the request.

We understand that some people will not even contemplate the choice offered by aid in dying because their religious beliefs or moral understanding do not permit it. No

¹ Death with Dignity, "Death with Dignity Acts," *available at* <https://www.deathwithdignity.org/learn/death-with-dignity-acts/>.

one — no doctor, hospital, institution, or individual — can be compelled to participate in another person's choice of aid in dying, and this bill protects them. But no person should be able to deny that choice to another. They must not presume to dictate an agonizing death for another human being.

The ACLU-CT supports this bill and the multiple safeguards it contains. We encourage the committee to support House Bill 6425.