Moira Buckley 10 Columbus Blvd, FL 6 Hartford, CT 06106

Dear Attorney Buckley:

On September 13, 2021, the Criminal Justice Commission informed the public that you are pursuing the opportunity to assume the role of Connecticut's first Inspector General. Thank you for recognizing your role in holding police accountable and criminally responsible when they unjustly harm or kill a member of the public.

As of the date of this letter, and based on public reporting, at least 5 people have been shot at or killed by municipal or state police employees in Connecticut in 2021 alone. Since 2001, the Division of Criminal Justice has investigated 81 cases of uses of deadly force and has found that the police were justified in 79 of those cases. The General Assembly, moved to action by the protests of hundreds of thousands of people across the country and across Connecticut, embarked on an effort to fulfill a promise – that Connecticut values Black life – by beginning to seriously grapple with the need to hold police accountable.

The creation of the Inspector General role was necessary because the public, including lawmakers, advocates, and impacted families, do not believe that State's Attorneys and the Division of Criminal Justice are willing to hold police accountable when they harm the public. In other words, you are seeking to originate a role specifically created to serve justice for and guarantee redress to people and families harmed by police violence. Your answers to this questionnaire are critical, because the core question at stake is whether you are committed to ending police violence and harms to policed communities.

We request that you complete our *ACLU* of *Connecticut Questionnaire* for *Connecticut Inspector General Applicants*. Because of the unique nature of the Inspector General position, the public has a right to know your views. We trust you recognize that transparency is critical and that your responses will provide the most public benefit if they are received before your interview with the Criminal Justice Commission on September 27, 2021. Accordingly, we ask that you provide your answers on or before the close of business on September 20, 2021.

Our questionnaire consists of 16 Yes/No questions, each of which provides the opportunity to make a brief comment. Where neither "Yes" nor "No" is clearly stated, the response will be recorded as "Did Not Respond." Please also submit a one-paragraph biography with your answers. Each candidate's response will be posted on our website and circulated to ACLU supporters and the public. We look forward to receiving your responses.

Claudine Fox

Public Policy and Advocacy Director, ACLU of Connecticut

Phone: 860-461-8473 Email: cfox@acluct.org

Connecticut
765 Asylum Ave. FL 1
Hartford CT 06105
(860) 523-9146
acluct.org

Questionnaire for Connecticut Inspector General Applicants

1. Do you believe that (a) the Inspector General must acknowledge racial disparities in policing, prosecution, incarceration, and the criminal justice system overall and (b) the Inspector General has a responsibility to take affirmative steps to end systemic racial disparities? Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" to both subparts and any explanation.

(a) Yes. (b) Yes.

Racial disparity is present in every corner of the justice system. All participants in the system must be conscious of it and work actively to change it. The Inspector General has an obligation to identify decisions and actions motivated by race in discharging their duties.



2. Do you believe police officers should be held criminally liable for the unnecessary physical harm of Connecticut residents? Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" to the question and any explanation.

Yes.

3. Do you believe police officers should be held criminally liable for the unnecessary killing of Connecticut residents? Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" to the question and any explanation.

Yes.

4. Do you believe that the current statutory standard for determining when police are justified in using deadly physical force makes it clear that an officer is justified to use deadly physical force only when it is absolutely necessary? Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" to the question and any explanation.

No. I do not think the current statutory standard makes it clear that an officer is justified in using deadly physical force only when "absolutely necessary," because it permits the use of deadly physical force in circumstances other than to save a life or prevent serious physical injury.

6. Do you believe that the standard in Public Act 21-4 for determining when police are justified in using deadly physical force that will be effective January 1, 2022 makes it clear that an officer is justified in using deadly physical force only when it is absolutely necessary? Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" to the question and any explanation.

No. I do not think that the standard in PA 21-4 makes it clear that an officer is justified in using deadly physical force only when it is absolutely necessary. PA 21-4, like the current statute, allows for the use of deadly physical force in circumstances other than to save a life or prevent serious physical injury. Moreover, PA 21-4 appears to dilute the "reasonable alternatives to the use of deadly physical force" standard by requiring that the officer "reasonably [determine]," rather than "[exhaust]" available alternatives to the use of deadly physical force.

7. Do you believe police officers should be protected from criminal liability when their use of physical force was reasonable under all the circumstances, but not absolutely necessary? Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" to the question and any explanation.

No. If the use of physical force is not necessary to accomplish a permitted objective, they should not be protected from criminal liability.



8. Will you commit to holding police accountable by supporting policy proposals that change Connecticut's use of force standard to one in which killings by police are justified only if it is clear that police did not, through their actions, create a situation in which deadly force was necessary? Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" to the question and any explanation.

Yes. If police unjustifiably create a situation resulting in the use of deadly force, they should be held accountable.

9. Will you commit to holding police accountable by supporting policy proposals that change Connecticut's use of force standard to one in which killings by police are justified only if it is clear that the force used by police was the least amount of force needed in the situation? Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" to the question and any explanation?

Yes.

10. Will you commit to holding police accountable by supporting policy proposals that change Connecticut's use of force standard to one in which killings by police are justified only if it is clear that the force used was necessary because all available, effective alternatives had been exhausted? Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" to the question and any explanation.

Yes.

11. Will you commit to holding an open, public meeting in the community where the police use of deadly force occurred, where, within 30 days after publishing your report on the deadly force investigation, you present your report and provide the community an opportunity to publicly comment on the report and/or the deadly force? Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" to the question and any explanation.

Yes. I believe transparency and open dialogue are critical to improving and restoring faith in our criminal justice system.

12. When you investigate a matter, will you commit to investigating the impact of the patterns, practices, and/or policies of the law enforcement unit(s) involved had on the subject matter under your investigation? Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" to the question and any explanation.

Yes.



- 13. Will you commit to making licensure recommendations, including decertification and suspension recommendations, to the Police Officer Standards and Training Council if you find, after complete investigation, that a police officer has:
 - (a) been unjustified in a use of physical force.
 - (b) engaged in conduct that undermines public confidence in law enforcement, including, but not limited to, discriminatory conduct, falsification of reports, or violating the Alvin W. Penn Racial Profiling Prohibition Act; or
 - (c) violated any policy of the law enforcement unit that employs the officer?

Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" to all question subparts and any explanation.

Yes, to subparts (a), (b), and (c).

14. If, after a complete investigation, you find that a police officer (a) was unjustified in using physical force and/or (b) engaged in other criminal conduct, will you commit to moving forward with justice and redress for such actions to the greatest extent of your discretion? Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" to the question and any explanation.

Yes (including a and b).

15. Will you commit to holding police accountable by (a) supporting the creation of a statewide "Brady List" of police officers excluded from testifying in criminal cases because of a proven history of lying or other professional or criminal misconduct, (b) making the "Brady List" available to the public on request, and (c) moving to ensure police officers that are on the statewide "Brady List" are

The American Civil Liberties Union of Connecticut is a nonpartisan, non-profit membership organization that defends, promotes and preserves individual rights and liberties under the U.S. and Connecticut constitutions in state and federal court, the General Assembly, and the state's 169 towns and cities.

decertified by the Police Officer Standards and Training Council? Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" to all question subparts and any explanation.

Yes, to subparts (a), (b), and (c).

16. Will you commit to fairness and transparency by supporting policy proposals that require uniform policies and procedures to be promulgated by the Division of Criminal Justice Advisory Board for all 13 state's attorney offices? Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" to the question and any explanation.

Yes.

Please direct all responses to Claudine Fox at <u>cfox@acluct.org</u> on or before the close of business on September 20, 2021.

